

1. The CCC survey on campus restructuring closes this Sunday night at midnight. It takes only ten minutes to fill out. The survey organizers have promised full transparency in releasing the survey results, but these will of course be affected by the makeup of people who complete it. People on both sides of the issue will no doubt be urging those who share their views to fill it out—which is well and good, and is precisely what I am doing now, even though I know that not all receiving this message will fill the survey out as I did! This sort of thing is in some large part about “turnout,” among other things, so do turn out to “vote.”

Community members, friends, and even family are welcome to fill it out, and student responses are particularly welcome. Results are completely anonymous.

[tinyurl.com/SIUCsurvey](http://tinyurl.com/SIUCsurvey)

2. There’s been some confusion about whether the administration will allow college level review of the restructuring proposals before they go to the Faculty Senate and Graduate Council. I have been informed that in one case at least, that of the CoLA Council, there will be such review, though the administration will send materials to all these bodies at once, rather than proceeding in the traditional manner, with CoLA Council review taking place first. We anticipate more clarity on the administration’s position about college level review at the Faculty Senate meeting on Tuesday.

This is not the place to review the CBA and relevant operating papers in detail, but I think it would be fair to say that an administration priding itself on “the best shared governance process you’ve ever seen” has no business pushing for dismemberment of colleges on campus without review on the college level. Colleges have identities, policies, and curricula of their own. Any effort to remake our college structure without college level review would, in my opinion, be an affront to basic principles of shared governance.

3. The BOT, as expected, took no action relevant to the restructuring proposal at its meetings in Edwardsville this week. I’ve posted rather lengthy reports from my perspective on the [FA Facebook](#) page, as well as links to media coverage.

4. While votes are still coming in, to the best of my knowledge faculty in six of the proposed schools have now met the contractual requirement for a 30-day extension of the review period. The first three have been confirmed by the administration; we are awaiting confirmation of the others.

Humanities

Social Sciences

Media, Communications, and Performing Arts

Homeland Security (which I believe has been renamed "Justice and Public Safety")  
Physical Sciences  
Transportation

Many other departments in other schools have also voted for an extension, but as other units have not so voted (or, in some cases, voted to expedite the process), those school proposals will move forward on the original timeline. Please continue to share vote results, pro and con, with us: I will attempt to provide a fuller picture on all votes as soon as I can.

In solidarity,

Dave Johnson  
President, SIUC Faculty Association

**FA [Website](#)**

**FA on [Facebook](#)**

#### **OFFICERS**

Dave Johnson, President [dmj2@me.com](mailto:dmj2@me.com)

Segun Ojewuyi, Vice President [sojewuyi@gmail.com](mailto:sojewuyi@gmail.com)

Debbie Bruns, Secretary [brunsdebbie@gmail.com](mailto:brunsdebbie@gmail.com)

Joe Shapiro, Treasurer [jps Shapiro@gmail.com](mailto:jps Shapiro@gmail.com)

Dan Becque, DRC Chair [mdbecque@gmail.com](mailto:mdbecque@gmail.com)

#### **COLLEGE REPS**

Agriculture: Paul Henry [p Henry@siu.edu](mailto:p Henry@siu.edu)

CASA: Sam Pavel [spavel@siu.edu](mailto:spavel@siu.edu)

CoEHS: Patrick Dilley [pdilleyphd@me.com](mailto:pdilleyphd@me.com)

CoLA: Anne Fletcher [beasleybe@earthlink.net](mailto:beasleybe@earthlink.net)

Engineering: Open

Library: Phil Howze [p howze@siu.edu](mailto:p howze@siu.edu)

MCMA: Rob Spahr [rspahr@siu.edu](mailto:rspahr@siu.edu)

Science: Randy Hughes [hrhughes@siu.edu](mailto:hrhughes@siu.edu)